

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,360	05/09/2007	Andrew John Whitehead	293810US0PCT	3086
22850 OBLON, SPIV	7590 10/05/200 AK, MCCLELLAND	EXAM	EXAMINER	
			HEINRICH, SAMUEL M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/05/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/586,360	WHITEHEAD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Samuel M. Heinrich	3742		

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Samuel M. Heinrich	3742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF8 1.3(36). In no event, may a reply be timely filed after SK (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the reasonism statisticity principle of the provision of the provisi							
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Diamonisian of Claims							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 12-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 10,111 and 16-19 is/are objected to.	alastian requirement						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 July 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO.413)					
Notice of References Cited (P10-992) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
	E) Listing of Informal P	ots at fas Hoother					

3) Minformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/17/2006.

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/586,360 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 10, 11, and 16-19 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, these claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-9 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 12, and 13, the last two words "that region" have no antecedent basis.

Claim 2, line 2, "is capable of" does not provide a clear positive description of the bonding process.

Claim 5, line 3, "is capable of" does not provide a clear positive description of the bonding process.

Claim 12, line 4, "is capable of" does not provide a clear positive description of the bonding process.

Claim 13, line 5, "is capable of" does not provide a clear positive description of the bonding process. Application/Control Number: 10/586,360

Art Unit: 3742

The dependent claims contain the unclear description of the respective base claims

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-9 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPN 5.885.149 to Gillet et al in view of USPN 5.645.937 to Noda et al.

Gillet et al describe (column 1, lines 45-47) a diamond segment is fused to a steel core by electron beam.

Noda et al describe (Abstract) an interlayer which includes a carbide forming metal which reacts with diamond to form a carbide, thus realizing a strong bond. Application/Control Number: 10/586,360

Art Unit: 3742

The instant claimed electron beam bonding processes would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the beam joining is well known and because the material reaction process is well known.

Claims 1-9 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 62207590A in view of USPN 5,645,937 to Noda et al.

JP 62207590 A describes electron beam welding of PCD diamond sintered body and hard alloy member.

Noda et al describe (Abstract) an interlayer which includes a carbide forming metal which reacts with diamond to form a carbide, thus realizing a strong bond.

The instant claimed electron beam bonding processes would have been obvious at the time applicant's invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the beam joining is well known and because the material reaction process is well known.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel M. Heinrich whose telephone number is 571-272-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 3742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu B. Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Samuel M Heinrich/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742